

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

LUKEEN GERALD

CRIMINAL ACTION

NO. 15-246-KSM-1

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 18<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2024, upon consideration of Defendant's request for an extension of the deadline to file a § 2255 Motion (Doc. No. 164), and § 2255 Motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence (Doc. No. 165), **IT IS ORDERED** as follow:

1. Both the request to extend the deadline to file a § 2255 Motion and the § 2255 Motion are **DENIED**.
2. The Government's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 166) is **GRANTED**.
3. A Certificate of Appealability shall not issue.<sup>1</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

/s/ Karen Spencer Marston

---

KAREN SPENCER MARSTON, J.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because jurists of reason would not debate this Court's disposition of Defendant's motion, no certificate of appealability should be granted. *See Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).